JSS Law College
Autonomous
Kuvempunagar, Mysuru

JSS Journal for Legal Studies and Research
[ISSN 2321-4171]

Intellectual Property and Biotechnology: A Dual Driver of Agricultural Transformation

***Sayed Qudrat Hashimy*[1]**

***Dr. MS Benjamin*[2]**

ABSTRACT

The integration of biotechnology into agriculture has introduced transformative innovations that hold promise for enhancing food security, improving crop yields, and addressing the challenges posed by climate change. However, the intersection of IPR with biotechnology presents both opportunities and challenges, particularly in developing countries where access to advanced agricultural technologies remains limited. By analyzing the evolution of biotechnology, its applications in agriculture, and the protection mechanisms under IPR frameworks, this paper delves into the implications of patents, trade secrets, copyrights, trademarks, and plant variety protections. It highlights the opportunities provided by IPR in fostering innovation and technology transfer, while also addressing the barriers posed by restrictive patenting practices, high costs, and unequal access to biotechnological advancements. Special attention is given to the role of genomics, bioinformatics, and R&D in agricultural biotechnology, as well as the legal and ethical considerations involved in balancing innovation with public good. The paper further explores how IPR regimes impact agricultural research, trade relationships, and the equitable distribution of biotechnological benefits. Ultimately, this study seeks to provide a comprehensive understanding of how IPR can serve as both a driver and a constraint in shaping the future of agricultural biotechnology, especially in the context of sustainable development and global food security.

Keywords: Agricultural Economy, Biotechnology, FAO, Intellectual Property Rights and Agriculture, IPR and WIPO

CITATION: Sayed Qudrat Hashimy & MS Benjamin, "Intellectual Property And Biotechnology: A Dual Driver of Agricultural Transformation", *JSS Journal for Legal Studies and Research*, Vol. 10 (2) (2024), pp. 1-32, available at https://www.jsslawcollege.in/jsslc-online-journal/

[1] Ph.D. Scholar (Law), Department of Studies in Law, University of Mysore 570006.
Email: sayedqudrathashimy@law.uni-mysore.ac.in
ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9835-0575
[2] Chairman, Dean Faculty of Law, Professor of Law,
Department of Studies in Law, University of Mysore 570006.
Email: Prof.msbenjamin@law.uni-mysore.ac.in

1.0 PROLOGUE

Over the past decade, the field of agricultural science has undergone a profound transformation, largely driven by advancements in biotechnology.[3] Concurrently, there has been a significant evolution in the application of Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) to scientific discoveries within the life sciences sector. These technological and legal shifts have been accompanied by a marked trend toward the globalization of trade, further complicating the landscape in which agricultural innovation and IPR intersect. The accompanying issues and dialogue note seek to delineate the key challenges emerging from the intersection of IPR and agricultural innovation. Among these concerns are questions regarding the accessibility of technology for developing nations, the proprietary control over germplasm the fundamental raw material for genetic improvement and the growing perception that the dominant control over biotechnological advancements lies within the hands of a select group of large, multinational corporations. Within this context, the document elaborates on the key technological tools deployed in the enhancement of animal and plant genetics, while also outlining the principal IPR mechanisms employed to secure ownership over such innovations. The study underscores the fact that IPR frameworks are not uniform across jurisdictions, though global agreements like the Paris Convention provide a framework for the international harmonization of these rules. At the heart of these discussions lie several deeply held convictions. The subject matter is intrinsically complex, requiring a nuanced analysis that integrates not only scientific and legal perspectives but also ethical considerations. It is crucial to recognize that, on many of these issues, definitive solutions are elusive; rather, the path forward hinges upon the possibility of compromise and consensus ensuring that fairness and equity are integral components of any resolution. The global economy has experienced remarkable growth and transformation in the past decades, marked by significant advances in productivity, product innovation, and export diversification. This

[3] National Research Council (US) Committee on Environmental Impacts Associated with Commercialization of Transgenic Plants, The Future of Agricultural Biotechnology, in ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS OF TRANSGENIC PLANTS: THE SCOPE AND ADEQUACY OF REGULATION (2002), https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK207491/ (last visited Nov 7, 2024).

growth has been particularly evident in industrial sectors and agriculture, including industries reliant on natural resources.[4] However, despite these economic achievements, deep structural issues continue to pose significant challenges to long-term socioeconomic development, especially in developing regions. One of the most pressing challenges is meeting the rapidly expanding demands for food security, driven by ongoing population growth. Without timely and effective interventions, there is a real risk that poverty levels will worsen, undermining global efforts to address hunger and malnutrition. Equally critical is the question of how to ensure fair benefit-sharing for communities that provide essential genetic resources or traditional knowledge that contribute to agricultural innovation. In addition, the integration of IPR with other aspects of product development, such as regulatory review, requires a more holistic approach. This means fostering cross-disciplinary collaboration to address the complex regulatory standards governing food safety, health, and environmental impacts. A coordinated framework that balances IPR concerns with regulatory oversight is essential for ensuring that agricultural products meet both local and international standards. Addressing the ethical dimensions of these issues is also crucial. Ethical considerations surrounding IPR, genetic resources, and technology transfer must be more fully integrated into the public discourse. It is not enough to focus solely on scientific possibilities; moral imperatives must also be considered, particularly in the context of ensuring equitable access to technologies that have the potential to transform agricultural practices and improve food security.

The role of international organizations such as the FAO, WIPO, and WTO cannot be understated.[5] These institutions must go beyond issuing general statements and take a

---

[4] Ting Feng, Ruoyu Xiong & Peng Huan, Productive Use of Natural Resources in Agriculture: The Main Policy Lessons, 85 RESOURCES POLICY 103793 (2023).

[5] The competence and credibility of international organizations, , in INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS IN WTO DISPUTE SETTLEMENT: HOW MUCH INSTITUTIONAL SENSITIVITY? 163 (Marina Foltea ed., 2012), https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/international-organizations-in-wto-dispute-settlement/competence-and-credibility-of-international-organizations/BB227DC191744CE6AD17405A5B99A7BF (last visited Nov 8, 2024).

more active role in developing concrete programs aimed at facilitating technology transfer and fostering collaboration between developed and developing nations.[6] Through effective education, research initiatives, and innovative frameworks, these organizations can help address the complexities of global agricultural challenges and ensure that IPR supports sustainable and inclusive innovation.

1.0 BIOTECHNOLOGY AND AGRICULTURE

1.1 Genesis of Biotechnology

Biotechnology, as one of the most primordial technologies known to humanity, finds its roots deep within the annals of ancient civilizations.[7] Its initial manifestations can be traced back to the Sumerians and Babylonians, who first harnessed the fermentative capabilities of yeast in the production of alcoholic beverages. Over time, the application of biotechnological processes expanded to encompass a multitude of areas, including agriculture, animal husbandry, and the fermentation sciences. However, it was not until the mid-19th century, with the emergence of advancements in genetic sciences, that biotechnology experienced a profound transformation, with genetic engineering becoming a central pillar in the exploration and manipulation of biological systems at a molecular level.

Genetic engineering, in its most fundamental essence, refers to the intentional alteration or manipulation of an organism's genetic material for specific, utilitarian objectives.[8] This encompasses a range of activities, from the cloning of genes to the synthesis of novel proteins, aimed at achieving desired phenotypic outcomes. Such manipulations, often heritable, involve either the modification of an organism's endogenous genetic code or the incorporation of exogenous DNA to induce particular traits. The techniques employed

[6] Kenneth W. Abbott & Duncan Snidal, Why States Act through Formal International Organizations, 42 THE JOURNAL OF CONFLICT RESOLUTION 3 (1998).

[7] Saurabh Bhatia, *History, Scope and Development of Biotechnology*, *in* INTRODUCTION TO PHARMACEUTICAL BIOTECHNOLOGY, VOLUME 1: BASIC TECHNIQUES AND CONCEPTS (2018), https://iopscience.iop.org/book/mono/978-0-7503-1299-8/chapter/bk978-0-7503-1299-8ch1 (last visited Nov 8, 2024).

[8] Elisabeth H. Ormandy, Julie Dale & Gilly Griffin, *Genetic Engineering of Animals: Ethical Issues, Including Welfare Concerns*, 52 THE CANADIAN VETERINARY JOURNAL 544 (2011).

in this domain are marked by a high degree of sophistication, necessitating intricate interventions at the molecular level, often involving the manipulation of genetic and other biologically significant macromolecules.

The impact of genetic engineering on agricultural practices, particularly in plant biotechnology, has been vast and transformative. Plants, due to their relative plasticity and ease of genetic modification, have been the primary subjects of such interventions. The potential benefits are manifold: genetic modification has the capacity to enhance crop yields, reduce dependency on chemical fertilizers, and mitigate disease susceptibility in both plants and livestock. The creation of transgenic organisms that harbor genes from unrelated species has further expanded the horizons of agricultural biotechnology. Such organisms are typically generated by the introduction of foreign DNA into embryos or oocytes, a process that has resulted in genetically modified animals such as transgenic fish, cattle, sheep, and pigs.[9] These GMOs not only serve agricultural purposes, such as enhancing food production, but also contribute to biopharmaceutical industries, with transgenic cows, for example, being engineered to produce valuable proteins like lactoferrin in their milk. Bioengineering has burgeoned into a sprawling industrial sector that now produces a wide array of medical products derived from genetically engineered DNA. These include therapeutic agents such as recombinant insulin, growth hormones, interferons, and vaccines engineered to combat diseases like HIV, herpes, and Lyme disease. One of the most promising medical applications of genetic engineering is gene therapy, a revolutionary treatment modality that involves the insertion of new or corrected genes into the human genome, either to replace defective genes or to confer protective traits against disease. Gene therapy has shown considerable success in the treatment of genetic disorders, particularly in patients with immune deficiencies.

[9] Chen Zhang, Robert Wohlhueter & Han Zhang, Genetically Modified Foods: A Critical Review of Their Promise and Problems, 5 FOOD SCIENCE AND HUMAN WELLNESS 116 (2016).

Genetic engineering in humans is a multifaceted field, encompassing various forms of gene therapy, each with distinct objectives and ethical implications. These include somatic cell gene therapy, which targets non-reproductive cells to treat genetic disorders; germ-line therapy, which alters the genetic material of germ cells or embryos and can be passed on to subsequent generations; enhancement genetic engineering,[10] which seeks to improve upon human traits; and eugenic genetic engineering, which raises profound ethical concerns regarding the selective manipulation of human genetics for societal or ideological purposes.

1.2 Biotechnology and Agriculture Tryst

Biotechnology is the application of science and technology to natural biological materials and processes, focusing on industrial purposes.[11] It uses the genetic blueprint of organisms for various forms, including medical, industrial, environmental, and agricultural biotechnology. Biotechnology has evolved from traditional tools like genetic engineering and recombinant DNA technology to new techniques like functional genomics and cell therapy.[12] As the future targets of biotechnology, the challenges it faces will be more complex, with the genetic material and its blueprint DNA (Deoxyribonucleic Acid) being the key focus.[13] Biotechnology has emerged as a promising tool to complement traditional breeding techniques, offering more precise and efficient methods for the genetic improvement of both crops and livestock.[14] Techniques such as in vitro cultivation and genetic marker-assisted selection allow for a higher

---

[10] Gene Therapy and Genetic Engineering - MU School of Medicine, https://medicine.missouri.edu/centers-institutes-labs/health-ethics/faq/gene-therapy (last visited Nov 8, 2024).

[11] Committee on Industrialization of Biology: A. Roadmap to Accelerate the Advanced Manufacturing of Chemicals et al., Industrial Biotechnology: Past and Present, in INDUSTRIALIZATION OF BIOLOGY: A ROADMAP TO ACCELERATE THE ADVANCED MANUFACTURING OF CHEMICALS (2015), https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK305455/ (last visited Nov 8, 2024).

[12] National Research Council (US) Steering Committee on Global Challenges and Directions for Agricultural Biotechnology: Mapping the Course, Challenges and Future Considerations in Realizing the Global Potential of Agricultural Biotechnology, in GLOBAL CHALLENGES AND DIRECTIONS FOR AGRICULTURAL BIOTECHNOLOGY: WORKSHOP REPORT (2008), https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK207923/ (last visited Nov 8, 2024).

[13] Bruce Alberts et al., From DNA to RNA, in MOLECULAR BIOLOGY OF THE CELL. 4TH EDITION (2002), https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK26887/ (last visited Nov 8, 2024).

[14] Engineering National Academies of Sciences et al., Future Genetic-Engineering Technologies, in GENETICALLY ENGINEERED CROPS: EXPERIENCES AND PROSPECTS (2016), https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK424553/ (last visited Nov 8, 2024).

intensity of selection, while genetically engineered (transgenic or GMO) plants enable the introduction of new genes to improve varieties.[15] Notable applications of biotechnology in agriculture include tissue culture,[16] which accelerates the development of new crop varieties, and genetic engineering for pest and disease resistance, with large-scale cultivation of GM crops already seen in countries like North America, Argentina, and China.[17] Additionally, biotechnological tools like DNA fingerprinting provide insights into pathogen diversity, aiding in the prevention of resistance breakdowns, while molecular analyses enhance the management of seed storage and gene banks, fostering the preservation of genetic diversity. Biotechnology also enables the creation of tailored crops and animals with specific disease and pest resistance, alongside the development of better diagnostic tools for food safety and contamination detection. While biotechnology presents vast opportunities, such as the use of plants for producing high-value chemicals or even vaccines through "pharming," it is not without its constraints. The identification, cloning, and incorporation of new genes will bolster the stability and resilience of crops, but conventional crossbreeding will still be needed for testing and transferring these traits into established breeding pools. Furthermore, seed delivery systems for improved genotypes must be implemented to ensure the effective adoption of new cultivars, stabilizing agricultural production and improving farm incomes. While the tools of biotechnology significantly accelerate genetic improvement, they are not a panacea; their success hinges on the establishment of favorable regulatory frameworks and public

---

[15] Engineering National Academies of Sciences et al., Future Genetically Engineered Crops, in GENETICALLY ENGINEERED CROPS: EXPERIENCES AND PROSPECTS (2016), https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK424554/ (last visited Nov 7, 2024).

[16] Cecilia Limera et al., New Biotechnological Tools for the Genetic Improvement of Major Woody Fruit Species, 8 FRONT. PLANT SCI. (2017), https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/plant-science/articles/10.3389/fpls.2017.01418/full (last visited Nov 7, 2024).

[17] Mughair Abdul Aziz et al., Genetically Engineered Crops for Sustainably Enhanced Food Production Systems, 13 FRONT. PLANT SCI. (2022), https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/plant-science/articles/10.3389/fpls.2022.1027828/full (last visited Nov 7, 2024).

acceptance. Ultimately, biotechnology's true potential in transforming agriculture will depend not only on scientific progress but also on supportive policies and societal trust.

1.3 Implication of Bio-Technology

Biotechnology aims to increase yields while reducing resources in various industries, such as pharmaceuticals, diagnostics, crops, vaccines, and treatments. It has been achieved through recombinant DNA techniques, which enable the development of microbial strains that can break down and absorb various chemicals. Biotechnology also contributes to environmental cleanliness, public health, pollution prevention, and trash recycling. It is used in various fields such as food, horticulture, floriculture, forestry, fisheries, agriculture, and bioenergy. It addresses issues like poverty, hunger, and disease tolerance. Tissue culture is used for large-scale production of elite planting material, transgenic plants resistant to stress, biofertilizers, biocontrol agents, livestock improvement, and aquaculture structure. Transgenic plants and animals produced through genetic engineering are valuable commercially in the pharmaceutical and agricultural industries for better diagnoses and treatments. Additionally, biotechnology is used in pharmaceuticals, food products, and biomedical research to create disease models.

1.4 Bio-Technology under the Aegis of Research and Development

One of the sectors in the world that requires the most research is biotechnology. Thus far, biotechnology has been controlled by firms situated in developed nations, primarily the United States and Europe. The United States leads the world in biotechnology, both in terms of technology production and consumption, and it is reaping the rewards of its technical dominance. The United Kingdom leads Europe, but Germany is spending a lot of public funds to catch up. The Japanese government is starting a fresh drive in this direction since, despite declaring biotechnology a priority industry in 1981, the country has not been able to develop a competitive biotechnology industry. R&D in this field has been encouraged by the capital-intensive nature of product development that is unavoidably connected to biotechnological applications. Only Brazil and India have been the focus of the World Bank's agribiotechnology program thus far. Among developing states, Korea, Thailand, and Singapore have taken the most aggressive steps to promote biotechnology research and development. While many others are at different phases of developing their capacity in this area, China, Cuba, and Mexico have also made some strides in this area.[18] To get into the biotechnology field, a lot of university labs and small business owners collaborate with big, international companies.

Over the years, the public and private sectors have invested billions of dollars in industrialised and, more recently, emerging nations. By establishing new biotechnology institutes that offer potentially far more environmentally friendly technologies in agricultural production, an increasing number of developing nations are investing in agriculture biotechnology R&D.[19] Biotechnology, once confined to academic and industrial research, has rapidly evolved into a global industry with significant commercial impact. The "New Biotechnology" involves advanced techniques such as ribosomal DNA technology and cell fusion, driving innovations in genomics, healthcare, agriculture, and environmental management. The sector has become crucial to the global economy, with biotechnology-based therapeutics, vaccines, and diagnostic tools transforming industries. Beyond pharmaceuticals, biotechnology is revolutionizing agriculture, with applications in plant tissue culture, biofertilizers, biopesticides, and aquaculture. Agrochemical and agrobiological biotechnologies, once a small segment of the market, are now the fastest-growing, driving the biotechnology industry's expansion. The field spans diverse areas, from genetic testing and gene therapy to stem cell research and cloning. The rapid pace of innovation has attracted significant venture capital, transforming biotechnology into a critical force in maintaining national competitiveness in a globalized economy.

[18] Sustainable development: The path to economic growth in Cuba, https://www.brookings.edu/articles/sustainable-development-the-path-to-economic-growth-in-cuba/ (last visited Nov 8, 2024).

[19] Vivienne M Anthony & Marco Ferroni, *Agricultural Biotechnology and Smallholder Farmers in Developing Countries*, 23 CURRENT OPINION IN BIOTECHNOLOGY 278 (2012).

1.5 The Implication of Genomics and Bioinformatics

The field of genomics stands as a monumental nexus between biology and information technology, facilitating the methodical extraction and interpretation of vast genomic datasets to elucidate fundamental biological questions.[20] Given the sheer scale and complexity of genomic data, genomics is intricately linked to bioinformatics, a discipline that provides the computational methodologies necessary for the management, analysis, and interpretation of such multifaceted information. This synergy has proven indispensable to the advancement of high-throughput genomics, where public genomic databases serve as pivotal repositories, fostering global collaboration in the quest for genetic insights. The Human Genome Project (HGP), perhaps the most ambitious scientific initiative of the late 20th century, stands as a quintessential exemplar of this interplay, wherein the rapid sequencing of human DNA was made feasible through the integration of cutting-edge automation and robotic technologies.[21] However, the monumental data outputs generated by such an enterprise necessitated the emergence of bioinformatics as a distinct field, which has since become indispensable in structuring and decoding genomic data. As genomic research continues to expand at an unprecedented rate, the demand for international cooperation and equitable access to genomic information has become a matter of paramount importance. Challenges such as data disparity, particularly in developing regions, underscore the necessity for robust international frameworks and substantial investments in information and communication technologies to ensure global inclusivity in the genomic revolution. Furthermore, the accelerated pace of genomic discoveries has ignited ethical debates, particularly concerning the genetic modification of organisms especially animals and plants.[22] In animal biotechnology, while the application of genomics holds remarkable promise, it is

---

[20] Saikou Y. Bah et al., *Highlights on the Application of Genomics and Bioinformatics in the Fight Against Infectious Diseases: Challenges and Opportunities in Africa*, 9 FRONTIERS IN GENETICS 575 (2018).

[21] *Id.*

[22] Saikou Y. Bah et al., *Highlights on the Application of Genomics and Bioinformatics in the Fight Against Infectious Diseases: Challenges and Opportunities in Africa*, 9 FRONTIERS IN GENETICS 575 (2018).

met with public skepticism, exacerbated by incidents such as the mad cow disease outbreak. The ethical quandaries surrounding cloning, gene transfer, and the potential risks of zoonotic diseases further complicate the application of these powerful biotechnological tools. Nevertheless, the promise of genomics to tackle pressing challenges in agriculture and human health remains substantial, contingent upon the delicate balance between ethical, regulatory, and social concerns, and underpinned by continued international collaboration to drive scientific and technological innovation.

A key technological advancement resulting from genomics is the microarray or DNA chip, which allows for the concurrent measurement of gene expression across thousands of genes, providing a comprehensive view of genomic activity in any given biological sample. Bioinformatics tools continue to address numerous challenges in genomics, including sequence alignment, protein structure prediction, and the modeling of complex metabolic networks. Since the sequencing of the Epstein-Barr virus in 1984, vast electronic databases containing the DNA sequences of numerous organisms have facilitated the discovery of new molecular pathways and therapeutic targets, necessitating increasingly sophisticated computational methods, including homology modeling, to analyze and compare genomic sequences across species.

Moreover, bioinformatics serves as a critical bridge between genomics and proteomics, with the latter focusing on the large-scale study of proteins their structure, function, and interactions using advanced techniques like mass spectrometry and X-ray crystallography. Similarly, glycomics has emerged as a specialized field investigating the role of oligosaccharides in biological systems, with significant potential for treating metabolic and glycosylation-related disorders. Biochemistry, the study of the chemical processes within living organisms, provides the foundational principles for understanding molecular biology, which itself seeks to elucidate the complex interactions that regulate gene expression and cellular function.[23]

In molecular research, techniques such as expression cloning, transfection, polymerase chain reaction (PCR),[24] and gel electrophoresis remain central to the manipulation and analysis of DNA and proteins, driving both fundamental research and applied biotechnological innovations. The collective advancements in genomics, bioinformatics, proteomics, and molecular biology are not only revolutionizing our understanding of biological systems but are also raising critical questions regarding intellectual property, particularly concerning issues such as patentability, data ownership, and the ethical implications of genetic manipulation. These developments underscore the pressing need for updated legal frameworks that can address the complexities introduced by the rapid evolution of biotechnological advancements, ensuring the equitable distribution of scientific knowledge and fostering responsible innovation in the face of these powerful new technologies.

2.0 AGRICULTURAL PROTECTION UNDER THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS (IPR) FRAMEWORK

Intellectual property (IP) represents an intangible form of ownership akin to a parcel of real estate, in which the rights associated with creations of the mind, such as patents, copyrights, trade secrets, and trademarks, can be bought, sold, or licensed. Unlike tangible property, IP protects innovations, inventions, and artistic works, incentivizing the creation of new ideas and rewarding economic investment. Within the realm of agriculture, the application of IP laws, specifically patents, plant breeders' rights (PBR), and trade secrets, holds significant implications for both the protection of agricultural innovations and the regulation of access to genetic resources, technologies, and products. However, the implementation of these IP mechanisms presents a host of challenges,

[23] Peiqing Zhang et al., Challenges of Glycosylation Analysis and Control: An Integrated Approach to Producing Optimal and Consistent Therapeutic Drugs, 21 DRUG DISCOVERY TODAY 740 (2016).
[24] Bruce Alberts et al., Isolating, Cloning, and Sequencing DNA, in MOLECULAR BIOLOGY OF THE CELL. 4TH EDITION (2002), https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK26837/ (last visited Nov 8, 2024).

especially in a global agricultural context. One of the most pressing concerns is the tension between protecting the intellectual property rights of innovators such as multinational corporations and research institutions and ensuring that developing nations and resource-poor farmers can access critical agricultural technologies. For example, the commercialization of GMOs underscores the way in which patents and trade secrets can limit access to crucial agricultural inputs for farmers, exacerbating global inequalities. Furthermore, the transnational nature of agriculture complicates the enforcement of IP rights across borders, leading to disparities in the availability and sharing of biotechnological innovations. Thus, while IP rights undoubtedly incentivize agricultural innovation, they also present substantial challenges related to biopiracy, biodiversity conservation, and food security, all of which demand a carefully crafted legal framework and international cooperation to balance the interests of IP holders and the global community.

2.1 Patents

A patent is a legal grant from the government, conferring upon the inventor the exclusive right to exclude others from making, using, or selling an invention for a specific period, typically 20 years.[25] In exchange, the inventor must publicly disclose the invention in full, allowing others to build upon the knowledge, thereby promoting further technological advancements. Patents are considered "negative rights" because they do not grant the right to make or use the invention, but rather prohibit others from doing so. The application of patents to agriculture, particularly in the context of living organisms, raises numerous legal and ethical questions, including whether such organisms should be patentable. Various international frameworks, such as the Paris Convention and the

[25] Giovanni Avola et al., *Precision Agriculture and Patented Innovation: State of the Art and Current Trends*, 76 WORLD PATENT INFORMATION 102262 (2024).

Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT),[26] provide a basis for filing patents across multiple jurisdictions, yet the territorial nature of patents complicates enforcement across borders. Furthermore, certain patents may inadvertently limit the availability of critical agricultural inputs, especially in developing countries, where the cost of licensing fees can be prohibitively expensive. Key issues include the scope of research exemptions, farmer rights to save and reuse seeds, and the economic barriers faced by small enterprises and public sector institutions in accessing patented agricultural technologies.

The patent system, a time-honored institution designed to reward inventive human ingenuity, predicates its grants on the triadic criteria of novelty, utility, and non-obviousness. In the realm of biotechnology, however, the intersection between nature and human invention presents a unique challenge, as biotechnological innovations frequently involve the manipulation of naturally occurring biological materials. The difficulty lies in distinguishing between what constitutes a patentable invention requiring sufficient human intervention and what remains an unpatentable discovery. U.S. jurisprudence, notably in ***Diamond v. Chakrabarty[27] and Merck v. Olin Mathieson,[28]*** has navigated these complexities, establishing precedents that allow for the patenting of isolated or purified products derived from nature, provided they meet the conditions of patentability, while excluding naturally occurring phenomena from patent protection. The patentability of biotechnological inventions often hinges on the inventive step requirement, as illustrated in landmark cases such as *Ex parte* ***Erlich and Amgen Inc. v. Chugai Pharmaceutical***,[29] where the courts grappled with determining whether the inventions were sufficiently non-obvious to a person skilled in the art. Additionally, ethical concerns have led to the

---

[26] The Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT) and Global Patent Strategy for Indian Inventors, (Oct. 4, 2024), https://depenning.com/blog/the-patent-cooperation-treaty-pct-and-global-patent-filing-strategy-for-indian-inventors/ (last visited Nov 8, 2024).

[27] Diamond v. Chakrabarty | 447 U.S. 303 (1980) | Justia U.S. Supreme Court Center, https://supreme.justia.com/cases/federal/us/447/303/ (last visited Nov 7, 2024).

[28] Merck & Co., Inc., Appellant, v. Olin Mathieson Chemical Corporation, Appellee, 253 F.2d 156 (4th Cir. 1958), JUSTIA LAW (2024), https://law.justia.com/cases/federal/appellate-courts/F2/253/156/145548/ (last visited Nov 8, 2024).

[29] Amgen, Inc. v. Chugai Pharmaceutical Co. LTD, 927 F.2d 1200 | Casetext Search + Citator, https://casetext.com/case/amgen-inc-v-chugai-pharmaceutical-co-ltd (last visited Nov 8, 2024).

exclusion of certain biotechnological inventions from patentability, particularly under the European Patent Convention, where inventions threatening public order or morality such as those involving genetically engineered organisms are excluded from patent protection. The intricate balance between incentivizing innovation and maintaining ethical boundaries continues to define the evolution of biotechnology patents, underscoring the significance of both legal and moral considerations in the field's future development.

2.2 Trade Secrets

A trade secret refers to any confidential business information that gives its owner a competitive edge, such as formulas, processes, or mechanisms, which is not publicly disclosed or protected by a patent.[30] Unlike patents, trade secrets do not require public disclosure, and their protection hinges on the owner's ability to maintain secrecy. However, once the secret is exposed, protection is lost. The use of trade secrets in agriculture raises several concerns, particularly regarding the appropriateness of public entities keeping vital information confidential. Furthermore, the movement of employees who have access to such secrets and the intersection of trade secrecy with other legal mechanisms such as freedom of information laws or regulatory disclosures pose additional challenges. The lack of clear international standards for the protection of trade secrets exacerbates these issues, particularly in the context of cross-border agricultural research and biotechnological development.

2.3 Copyrights

In contrast to patents, which protect ideas and their implementation, copyrights protect the expression of ideas, provided that the expression is fixed in a tangible medium, such as written text, photographs, or digital formats. Copyrights automatically vest upon the

[30] David S. Almeling, *Seven Reasons Why Trade Secrets Are Increasingly Important*, 27 BERKELEY TECHNOLOGY LAW JOURNAL 1091 (2012).

creation of the work, and the creator retains exclusive rights to control the reproduction, adaptation, and distribution of their work. While copyright law has traditionally been more associated with creative works, its application is increasingly relevant in agricultural contexts, such as the protection of genomic databases, meteorological data, or GIS imagery used in agricultural research. One of the primary issues in this area is the potential impact of copyright protection on access to scientific data, particularly in genomics, where the balance between protecting creators' rights and facilitating research and innovation becomes contentious. As agricultural research increasingly relies on large datasets, the question of "fair use" and global agreements on copyright enforcement becomes increasingly important, especially with the proliferation of digital technologies and the internet.[31]

2.4 Trademarks

A trademark is a distinctive sign, symbol, word, or logo used by an individual or entity to identify their goods or services and distinguish them from others.[32] In the agricultural sector, trademarks play a crucial role in branding, which helps to create and maintain the reputation of agricultural products. This is particularly true in the context of commodity marketing, such as with the branding of coffee or rice,[33] where regional identities or traditional knowledge are incorporated into trademarked goods. The use of trademarks and branding raises critical issues in the global agricultural market, including the impact of branding on market access, the globalization of agricultural marketing, and the potential for cultural appropriation when indigenous terms are incorporated into commercial branding.[34] Moreover, the costs associated with establishing and maintaining

---

[31] Julie Ingram et al., What Are the Priority Research Questions for Digital Agriculture?, 114 LAND USE POLICY 105962 (2022).

[32] Glynn S. Lunney, *Trademark's Judicial De-Evolution: Why Courts Get Trademark Cases Wrong Repeatedly*, 106 CALIFORNIA LAW REVIEW 1195 (2018).

[33] How to Do Coffee Shop Branding (2023 Ideas and Examples), https://pos.toasttab.com/blog/on-the-line/how-to-do-coffee-shop-branding?srsltid=AfmBOoq4iBZ7OqqjOe2z3gh7mAJ3lSgteELoNLsAHn5U0UQLfUnSeDLk (last visited Nov 8, 2024).

[34] S.K. Verma, *Legal Protection of Trade Secrets and Confidential Information*, 44 JOURNAL OF THE INDIAN LAW INSTITUTE 336 (2002).

a trademark may present barriers to entry for small-scale farmers or producers in developing countries.

2.5 Plant Varieties

The protection of plant varieties became an important issue after the Green Revolution, particularly in relation to global food security.[35] Modern breeding techniques, alongside increased investments in the agricultural sector, led to a rapid development of new plant varieties.[36] However, the traditional intellectual property (IP) system was inadequate to address the specific needs of plant variety protection. To resolve this, international conventions established a sui generis system tailored for plant varieties, with India adopting this system to protect its own agricultural innovations. Plant varieties are a crucial part of the world's biological resources, and modern scientific efforts—such as hybridization and genetic engineering aim to improve existing varieties, boosting agricultural productivity and resistance to pests and diseases. With biotechnology and genetic engineering, even a single gene from a plant variety can significantly impact a nation's agricultural economy.

Following World War II, widespread agricultural devastation in Europe spurred technological advancements in crop breeding and pest management, creating a demand for increased agricultural productivity.[37] As new plant varieties emerged, breeders and indigenous knowledge holders sought legal protection to safeguard their intellectual and economic interests. This led to the establishment of legal frameworks for protecting plant varieties, ensuring that breeders' intellectual and financial investments were recognized

---

[35] Cary Fowler, *The Plant Patent Act of 1930: A Sociological History of Its Creation*, 82 J. PAT. & TRADEMARK OFF. SOC'Y 621 (2000).

[36] Sidney B. Williams, *Protection of Plant Varieties and Parts as Intellectual Property*, 225 SCIENCE 18 (1984).

[37] Muyesaier Tudi et al., *Agriculture Development, Pesticide Application and Its Impact on the Environment*, 18 INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH AND PUBLIC HEALTH 1112 (2021).

and secured. The first formal legislation for plant patent protection, the U.S. Plant Patents Act of 1930, was limited to asexually reproduced plants, but technical challenges and the non-industrial nature of early plant breeding led to the creation of a sui generis system more suited to the needs of plant breeders.[38] The International Union for the Protection of New Varieties of Plants (UPOV), an intergovernmental organization based in Geneva, plays a key role in establishing and promoting this sui generis system for plant variety protection at both national and international levels. Founded by the International Convention for the Protection of New Varieties of Plants, first adopted in 1961, UPOV's mission is to foster the development of new plant varieties through effective protection systems that benefit breeders, farmers, and society as a whole.

2.6 Opportunities through open windows, and challenges behind closed doors

The growing commercialization of agricultural biotechnology brings both significant opportunities and notable challenges for developing countries. On the positive side, the expansion of proprietary scientific knowledge creates new opportunities for accessing cutting-edge technologies, establishing commercial partnerships, and forming innovative collaborations. These advancements can lead to the development of new agricultural products and distribution methods that enhance productivity and generate income, which can then be reinvested into further scientific innovation.[39] However, these potential benefits are tempered by a number of challenges. For instance, the high costs associated with accessing patented technologies, difficulties in managing exclusive licensing arrangements, and the complexity of negotiating fair and humanitarian licensing terms for equitable access to vital biotechnologies all present significant hurdles. Furthermore, legal and liability issues, particularly concerning the use GMOs, can further complicate adoption in developing regions. As such, while intellectual property protection in agricultural biotechnology offers promising opportunities, it also raises complex

---

[38] Suresh Pal, Robert Tripp & Niels P. Louwaars, *Intellectual Property Rights in Plant Breeding and Biotechnology: Assessing Impact on the Indian Seed Industry*, 42 ECONOMIC AND POLITICAL WEEKLY 231 (2007).

[39] David Zilberman, Tim G. Holland & Itai Trilnick, Agricultural GMOs—What We Know and Where Scientists Disagree, 10 SUSTAINABILITY 1514 (2018).

questions about accessibility, cost, and fairness. These concerns require a thoughtful approach to ensure that IP frameworks in agriculture are implemented in a way that balances innovation with equitable distribution, particularly in the context of developing nations. For poverty alleviation and economic development to be truly effective, a robust and sustainable foundation in agricultural production is essential, particularly since a significant proportion of the population in developing nations relies directly on agriculture for their livelihoods. In this regard, the integration of science and biotechnology into agricultural practices holds transformative potential. Hence, it becomes critical for international development institutions such as the FAO to rigorously assess the advances in applied biotechnology and their potential benefits for marginalized populations. The application of biotechnology, particularly in crop improvement, must not be narrowly focused on the plant species itself, but must also take into account the broader socio-economic context, ensuring that the benefits accrue to the people who live and work within the agro-ecosystem.[40]

Any strategy that seeks to deploy biotechnology to enhance agricultural productivity in the developing world must, therefore, adopt a holistic approach to poverty alleviation. This requires an understanding of poverty as a complex, multifaceted issue that cannot be addressed through simplistic or one-dimensional solutions. Agricultural biotechnology should be considered not as a panacea, but as one among several viable tools to augment sustainable productivity, safeguard food security, and reduce poverty especially in rural areas, where fragile ecosystems are most vulnerable and in dire need of protection through the adoption of environmentally sustainable technologies.

[40] Alison G. Power, *Ecosystem Services and Agriculture: Tradeoffs and Synergies*, PHILOSOPHICAL TRANSACTIONS OF THE ROYAL SOCIETY B: BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES (2010), https://royalsocietypublishing.org/doi/10.1098/rstb.2010.0143 (last visited Nov 8, 2024).

To achieve equitable access to agricultural biotechnology in the developing world, a more proactive and inclusive approach is necessary. This involves ensuring that the benefits of the so-called "gene revolution" extend to the impoverished, particularly in rural areas.[41] However, such biotechnology products often developed by the private sector must be deployed with due regard for both environmental sustainability and human health safety. Public discourse on these matters must be expanded to incorporate a broader societal dialogue regarding the risks and benefits of biotechnology in food production. While the deployment of such technologies remains a sensitive subject, particularly in industrialized nations, it is imperative that the developing world not only has a voice in this debate but also sets its own standards and regulatory frameworks. Developing countries possess distinct economic structures, ecological realities, and climatic conditions, all of which necessitate that the application of biotechnology be tailored to their unique needs and aspirations.

Moreover, innovative licensing agreements should be developed that are more attuned to the realities of low-income farmers. These agreements should consider factors such as income levels, farm size, commodity price structures, and the nature of the technology itself. By creating standardized licensing templates that account for these variables, it is possible to reduce transaction costs associated with negotiating individual agreements, thus facilitating greater access to transformative technologies for smallholder farmers.

Incorporating pest resistance into crops, for example, can significantly enhance both yield and quality, leading to increased profitability for small-scale farmers, while also promoting environmentally sustainable practices. These improvements can have far-reaching implications for food security, particularly in HIPC nations. Higher and more stable yields not only enable poor farmers to reinvest in agricultural inputs, thus boosting both food production and income generation, but they can also reduce food prices for urban and rural poor alike, creating a positive feedback loop that fosters broader socio-economic stability.

---

[41] Felicia Wu & William P.Butz, *The Gene Revolution: Genetically Modified Crops*, *in* THE FUTURE OF GENETICALLY MODIFIED CROPS 39 (1 ed. 2004), https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.7249/mg161rc.12 (last visited Nov 8, 2024).

3.0 INTERTWINED OF IPR AND AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH

Biotechnology companies invest heavily in research and development (R&D) and rely on intellectual property (IP) rights to secure exclusive control over their innovations, ensuring that these investments are financially worthwhile. In fields such as agribiotechnology and pharmacobiotechnology, where developing genetically modified organisms and other biotechnological products requires significant financial resources, IP rights are essential to protect inventions and incentivize further research. Without IP protection, companies would be discouraged from pursuing new projects or refining existing ones, as others could freely copy their products. Historically, the moral and philosophical basis for rewarding inventors can be traced to thinkers like Aristotle and was further reinforced during the Industrial Revolution, which highlighted the need to protect inventions from unauthorized copying. The patent system evolved to secure exclusive rights for inventors, thereby protecting their investments and fostering industrial progress. In biotechnology, patent protection is critical, especially for life sciences innovations like proteins, polypeptides, and nucleic acids. The success of the biotechnology industry depends on strong patent protection, as it enables the development and commercialization of new treatments, diagnostics, and pharmaceuticals. Without such protection, the high costs of innovation could not be recouped. However, the patent system has specific requirements, such as novelty and inventive step, that can be challenging to apply to complex biotechnological inventions, especially those involving living organisms. These challenges raise important questions about the adaptability of the patent system to this rapidly evolving field. It is crucial to assess how the system can accommodate the unique characteristics of biotechnology while fostering ethical and legal innovation.

Intellectual Property Rights play a pivotal role in fostering research and development (R&D), particularly in biotechnology, by granting inventors limited exclusivity over their innovations. The ongoing expansion of biotechnology investment, especially in industrialized nations, underscores the influence of IPR in driving technological advancement. However, in agricultural research, the application of IPR becomes more complex, especially with the concept of the research exemption, which permits the use of patented technologies for further scientific inquiry without infringement. Yet, the scope of this exemption remains debated, particularly regarding agricultural research. Public research institutions face the dilemma of whether to seek proprietary protection for their inventions to fund further research or ensure that their innovations are accessible to the market. The Bayh-Dole Act (1980)[42] in the U.S. allowed public institutions to retain patent rights for federally funded inventions, blurring the lines between public and private sector roles in research commercialization. The key issues in agricultural IPR include whether agriculture warrants special treatment under IPR, the role of IPR in funding research in developing countries, and whether certain agricultural innovations should be considered public goods. Major constraints include potential public backlash against the commercialization of life forms and concerns over the dominance of large corporations in biotechnology. However, opportunities exist in harmonizing global IP standards, leveraging IPR to increase public research funding, and creating inclusive patent frameworks that encourage non-conventional innovation. A balanced IPR system, if effectively structured, could foster greater collaboration, stimulate innovation, and ensure that agricultural research benefits society as a whole.

Scientific knowledge constitutes a vast body of international public goods, with much of this knowledge residing in the public domain.[43] Intellectual property (IP) laws, by design, require proprietary technologies except in the case of trade secrets to be disclosed to the public as a condition for granting exclusive rights. For example, patents provide the right to exclude others from making, using, or selling an invention, but they also necessitate full disclosure of the invention's details as a "*quid pro quo.*" Once the statutory life of a

---

42 Catholic University, The Bayh Dole Act, The Catholic University of America, https://sponsored-research.catholic.edu/ott/resources/bayhdoleact/index.html (last visited Nov 7, 2024).

43 National Research Council (US) Steering Committee on the Role of Scientific and Technical Data and Information in the Public Domain, Julie M. Esanu & Paul F. Uhlir, Scientific Knowledge as a Global Public Good: Contributions to Innovation and the Economy, in THE ROLE OF SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL DATA AND INFORMATION IN THE PUBLIC DOMAIN: PROCEEDINGS OF A SYMPOSIUM (2003), https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK221876/ (last visited Nov 7, 2024).

patent expires, the material becomes part of the public domain, which has led to the growth of generics in fields like pharmaceuticals. Developing countries face constraints such as inadequate infrastructure and the growing need to develop the skills required to negotiate equitable licensing agreements. The rising costs associated with IP protection, often funded by taxpayers, have also sparked ethical concerns. However, new technologies offer the potential for developing countries to access public data through digital databases, bypassing traditional infrastructure limitations.

4.0 THE IPR AND TRADE RELATIONSHIPS

The nexus between IPR and trade has become a central focus of international discourse,[44] particularly as the globalization of the world economy has necessitated the application of IP regulations on a global scale.[45] The creation of regional and international trade frameworks such as Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC)[46] and North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA),[47] and the establishment of the World Trade Organization (WTO),[48] have been pivotal in incorporating IP matters into trade negotiations. The Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS) under the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT/WTO) have brought IP protection to the forefront of global trade policy, linking the regulation of intellectual property directly with market access and trade conditions.[49] This integration is particularly pronounced in

[44] Mercedes Campi & Marco Dueñas, Intellectual Property Rights, Trade Agreements, and International Trade, 48 RESEARCH POLICY 531 (2019).
[45] Emmanuelle Auriol, Sara Biancini & Rodrigo Paillacar, Intellectual Property Rights Protection and Trade: An Empirical Analysis, 162 WORLD DEVELOPMENT 106072 (2023).
[46] Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation, https://www.apec.org/ (last visited Nov 7, 2024).
[47] North American Free Trade Agreement | U.S. Customs and Border Protection, https://www.cbp.gov/trade/north-american-free-trade-agreement (last visited Nov 7, 2024).
[48] World Trade Organization - Global trade, (2024), https://www.wto.org/index.htm (last visited Nov 7, 2024).
[49] Bryan Mercurio, Intellectual Property Rights, Trade, and Economic Development, in LAW AND DEVELOPMENT PERSPECTIVE ON INTERNATIONAL TRADE LAW 49 (Gary Horlick et al. eds., 2011), https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/law-and-development-perspective-on-international-trade-law/intellectual-property-rights-trade-and-economic-development/A050958D6CF0A346342073A95CC8B1E0 (last visited Nov 7, 2024).

agricultural trade, where issues such as genetically modified organisms (GMOs) and plant patents have become contentious points of dispute, as seen in the "banana wars" between the USA and Europe, or the ongoing tensions between Europe and the USA regarding GMO foods. The global debate surrounding non-tariff barriers, such as biosafety regulations and the flow of genetic resources, further complicates the relationship between trade and IPR, as countries grapple with the implications of IP protection on food security and market access. As IPR has become an intrinsic part of the global trading system, traditional territorial boundaries around patents have blurred, and issues that were once seen as purely national are now subject to international scrutiny. Nevertheless, this convergence of IPR and trade is not without its challenges.[50] Policymakers face a variety of critical issues, including the upcoming WTO negotiations on agriculture, the increasing globalization of agricultural trade, and the handling of non-tariff IP concerns related to GMOs. These debates are particularly crucial as they have profound implications for global food production and distribution systems. However, the rapid pace of change, coupled with the complexity of balancing economic interests, poses significant constraints. The lack of clear, accessible information on the benefits and drawbacks of IPR regimes in trade, coupled with the vested interests of various stakeholders, often skews public discourse, impeding a balanced debate. Furthermore, existing regulatory structures and national legislations frequently struggle to keep pace with the dynamic nature of global trade, resulting in rushed compliance measures. Global litigation, while necessary, remains a slow and costly process, disproportionately favoring larger entities over smaller ones, which calls for more efficient dispute resolution mechanisms. Despite these challenges, the evolving trade landscape offers considerable opportunities, particularly in expanding market access for developing countries, fostering economic growth, and encouraging creative partnerships, especially in the private sector. By fostering innovation and diversifying trade relationships,

[50] Beatriz Conde Gallego & Henning Grosse Ruse-Khan, III.76 Relation of Intellectual Property Rights to Trade, Investment and Anti-Trust Rules (2017), https://www.elgaronline.com/display/nlm-book/9781784713539/b-9781784713546-269.xml (last visited Nov 7, 2024).

especially in emerging markets, new opportunities for economic growth, job creation, and efficiency can be realized, ultimately benefiting all sectors of society.

5.0 CONCLUSION AND FINDINGS

5.1 Findings

Biotechnology's Role in Agricultural Transformation: Biotechnology is a powerful tool that is driving agricultural transformation by improving crop varieties, enhancing food security, and contributing to the development of climate-resilient crops. It offers solutions to critical challenges like pest resistance, drought tolerance, and disease management. However, its integration with IPR requires a careful balance between incentivizing innovation and ensuring access for all stakeholders, especially smallholder farmers.

5.1.1 IPR's Influence on Agricultural Innovation

The IPR system is crucial for protecting innovations in agricultural biotechnology, enabling researchers, firms, and institutions to capitalize on their inventions. Patents, plant variety protections, and trade secrets provide incentives for investment in R&D, but also lead to issues around monopoly control, especially in seed production and genetic resources, which can limit the ability of farmers to access and use these innovations.

5.1.2 Opportunities and Challenges in Open vs. Closed IPR System

There is a growing need to explore the opportunities within open-access systems, where sharing and collaboration may drive further innovation. Open-source biotechnology models, particularly in the public research domain, hold promise for equitable distribution of benefits. Conversely, closed-door IPR regimes raise concerns about the monopolization of critical resources, making essential biotechnologies and agricultural inputs inaccessible to marginalized communities and developing nations.

5.1.3 IPR and Agricultural Research Collaboration

IPR plays a key role in shaping the collaboration between public research institutions and private companies. The commercialization of biotechnological innovations through licensing agreements, partnerships, and patents has opened new pathways for the transfer of technology. However, this dynamic can also lead to tensions between ensuring equitable access and protecting commercial interests.

5.1.4 International Trade and IPR

The relationship between IPR and international trade in agricultural biotechnology is complex. The protection of biotechnological inventions has implications for global trade agreements, especially with respect to the TRIPS (Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights) agreement under the World Trade Organization (WTO). While IPR fosters international trade in agricultural innovations, it also creates barriers to market entry for smaller economies and raises concerns about the ethical implications of patenting genetic resources, particularly in developing countries.

5.1.5 Ethical and Social Implications

Ethical concerns surrounding the use of IPR in biotechnology, particularly in agriculture, are significant. The patenting of genetic resources and life forms has led to debates about the ownership of biodiversity, biopiracy, and the social responsibility of biotechnology companies. As agricultural biotechnology continues to develop, these issues must be addressed to ensure that IPR serves the common good rather than creating inequities.

5.2 Epilogue

In conclusion, while biotechnology and IPR are undoubtedly dual drivers of agricultural transformation, their interaction requires ongoing examination and fine-tuning to maximize the benefits for society at large, while minimizing the risks of inequality and monopolization. A nuanced approach, balancing innovation incentives with equitable access, is essential to ensuring that biotechnology serves as a force for positive change in global agriculture.

The intersection of biotechnology and intellectual property rights (IPR) plays a pivotal role in reshaping the future of agriculture, fostering innovation, and transforming agricultural practices globally. As biotechnology evolves, its capacity to address food security, climate change, and the sustainability of agricultural systems is becoming more evident. The application of biotechnological innovations in agriculture has led to significant advancements in crop yields, pest resistance, and disease management, all of which are critical to ensuring a sustainable and productive agricultural ecosystem.

The intellectual property system, particularly patents, trade secrets, copyrights, and plant variety protections, offers the necessary legal framework to incentivize innovation, protect creators' rights, and facilitate the transfer of agricultural technologies. However, this protection system also raises several challenges, such as access to technology, equity in innovation, and the potential monopolization of critical agricultural resources by large corporations. The role of IPR in agricultural research is critical, as it not only fosters innovation but also governs the dynamics of trade and access to biotechnology-based solutions. The increasingly intertwined nature of IPR and agricultural research has led to both opportunities and challenges. On one hand, the IPR framework facilitates commercialization and global trade in biotechnology, while on the other, it has the potential to exclude smaller players and restrict access to essential agricultural technologies, especially in developing countries.

6.0 REFERENCES

1. Vivienne M Anthony & Marco Ferroni, *Agricultural Biotechnology and Smallholder Farmers in Developing Countries*, 23 CURRENT OPINION IN BIOTECHNOLOGY 278 (2012).
2. David Zilberman, Tim G. Holland & Itai Trilnick, *Agricultural GMOs—What We Know and Where Scientists Disagree*, 10 SUSTAINABILITY 1514 (2018).

3. Muyesaier Tudi et al., *Agriculture Development, Pesticide Application and Its Impact on the Environment*, 18 INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH AND PUBLIC HEALTH 1112 (2021).
4. Amgen, Inc. v. Chugai Pharmaceutical Co. LTD, 927 F.2d 1200 | Casetext Search + Citator, https://casetext.com/case/amgen-inc-v-chugai-pharmaceutical-co-ltd (last visited Nov 8, 2024).
5. Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation, https://www.apec.org/ (last visited Nov 7, 2024).
6. Taiki Watanabe, Shuhei Takada & Ryushin Mizuta, *Cell-Free DNA in Blood Circulation Is Generated by DNase1L3 and Caspase-Activated DNase*, 516 BIOCHEMICAL AND BIOPHYSICAL RESEARCH COMMUNICATIONS 790 (2019).
7. National Research Council (US) Steering Committee on Global Challenges and Directions for Agricultural Biotechnology: Mapping the Course, *Challenges and Future Considerations in Realizing the Global Potential of Agricultural Biotechnology*, *in* GLOBAL CHALLENGES AND DIRECTIONS FOR AGRICULTURAL BIOTECHNOLOGY: WORKSHOP REPORT (2008), https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK207923/ (last visited Nov 8, 2024).
8. Peiqing Zhang et al., *Challenges of Glycosylation Analysis and Control: An Integrated Approach to Producing Optimal and Consistent Therapeutic Drugs*, 21 DRUG DISCOVERY TODAY 740 (2016).
9. Diamond v. Chakrabarty | 447 U.S. 303 (1980) | Justia U.S. Supreme Court Center, https://supreme.justia.com/cases/federal/us/447/303/ (last visited Nov 7, 2024).
10. Alison G. Power, *Ecosystem Services and Agriculture: Tradeoffs and Synergies*, PHILOSOPHICAL TRANSACTIONS OF THE ROYAL SOCIETY B: BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES (2010), https://royalsocietypublishing.org/doi/10.1098/rstb.2010.0143 (last visited Nov 8, 2024).
11. John J. Mulvihill et al., *Ethical Issues of CRISPR Technology and Gene Editing through the Lens of Solidarity*, 122 BRITISH MEDICAL BULLETIN 17 (2017).
12. Bruce Alberts et al., *From DNA to RNA*, *in* MOLECULAR BIOLOGY OF THE CELL. 4TH EDITION (2002), https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK26887/ (last visited Nov 8, 2024).
13. Engineering National Academies of Sciences et al., *Future Genetic-Engineering Technologies*, *in* GENETICALLY ENGINEERED CROPS: EXPERIENCES AND PROSPECTS (2016), https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK424553/ (last visited Nov 8, 2024).

14. Engineering National Academies of Sciences et al., *Future Genetically Engineered Crops*, *in* GENETICALLY ENGINEERED CROPS: EXPERIENCES AND PROSPECTS (2016), https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK424554/ (last visited Nov 7, 2024).

15. Gene Therapy and Genetic Engineering - MU School of Medicine, https://medicine.missouri.edu/centers-institutes-labs/health-ethics/faq/gene-therapy (last visited Nov 8, 2024).

16. Elisabeth H. Ormandy, Julie Dale & Gilly Griffin, *Genetic Engineering of Animals: Ethical Issues, Including Welfare Concerns*, 52 THE CANADIAN VETERINARY JOURNAL 544 (2011).

17. Mughair Abdul Aziz et al., *Genetically Engineered Crops for Sustainably Enhanced Food Production Systems*, 13 FRONT. PLANT SCI. (2022), https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/plant-science/articles/10.3389/fpls.2022.1027828/full (last visited Nov 7, 2024).

18. Chen Zhang, Robert Wohlhueter & Han Zhang, *Genetically Modified Foods: A Critical Review of Their Promise and Problems*, 5 FOOD SCIENCE AND HUMAN WELLNESS 116 (2016).

19. Angela McGaughran et al., *Genomic Tools in Biological Invasions: Current State and Future Frontiers*, 16 GENOME BIOLOGY AND EVOLUTION evad230 (2023).

20. BAL JOSHI ET AL., GEOGRAPHICAL INDICATION: A TOOL FOR SUPPORTING ON-FARM CONSERVATION OF CROP LANDRACES AND FOR RURAL DEVELOPMENT (2017).

21. BAL JOSHI ET AL., GEOGRAPHICAL INDICATION: A TOOL FOR SUPPORTING ON-FARM CONSERVATION OF CROP LANDRACES AND FOR RURAL DEVELOPMENT (2017).

22. Saikou Y. Bah et al., *Highlights on the Application of Genomics and Bioinformatics in the Fight Against Infectious Diseases: Challenges and Opportunities in Africa*, 9 FRONTIERS IN GENETICS 575 (2018).

23. Saikou Y. Bah et al., *Highlights on the Application of Genomics and Bioinformatics in the Fight Against Infectious Diseases: Challenges and Opportunities in Africa*, 9 FRONTIERS IN GENETICS 575 (2018).

24. Saurabh Bhatia, *History, Scope and Development of Biotechnology*, *in* INTRODUCTION TO PHARMACEUTICAL BIOTECHNOLOGY, VOLUME 1: BASIC

TECHNIQUES AND CONCEPTS (2018), https://iopscience.iop.org/book/mono/978-0-7503-1299-8/chapter/bk978-0-7503-1299-8ch1 (last visited Nov 8, 2024).

25. How to Do Coffee Shop Branding (2023 Ideas and Examples), https://pos.toasttab.com/blog/on-the-line/how-to-do-coffee-shop-branding?srsltid=AfmBOoq4iBZ7OqqjOe2z3gh7mAJ3lSgteELoNLsAHn5U0UQLfUnSeDLk (last visited Nov 8, 2024).

26. Beatriz Conde Gallego & Henning Grosse Ruse-Khan, *III.76 Relation of Intellectual Property Rights to Trade, Investment and Anti-Trust Rules* (2017), https://www.elgaronline.com/display/nlm-book/9781784713539/b-9781784713546-269.xml (last visited Nov 7, 2024).

27. Committee on Industrialization of Biology: A. Roadmap to Accelerate the Advanced Manufacturing of Chemicals et al., *Industrial Biotechnology: Past and Present*, *in* INDUSTRIALIZATION OF BIOLOGY: A ROADMAP TO ACCELERATE THE ADVANCED MANUFACTURING OF CHEMICALS (2015), https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK305455/ (last visited Nov 8, 2024).

28. Suresh Pal, Robert Tripp & Niels P. Louwaars, *Intellectual Property Rights in Plant Breeding and Biotechnology: Assessing Impact on the Indian Seed Industry*, 42 ECONOMIC AND POLITICAL WEEKLY 231 (2007).

29. Emmanuelle Auriol, Sara Biancini & Rodrigo Paillacar, *Intellectual Property Rights Protection and Trade: An Empirical Analysis*, 162 WORLD DEVELOPMENT 106072 (2023).

30. Mercedes Campi & Marco Dueñas, *Intellectual Property Rights, Trade Agreements, and International Trade*, 48 RESEARCH POLICY 531 (2019).

31. Bryan Mercurio, *Intellectual Property Rights, Trade, and Economic Development*, *in* LAW AND DEVELOPMENT PERSPECTIVE ON INTERNATIONAL TRADE LAW 49 (Gary Horlick et al. eds., 2011), https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/law-and-development-perspective-on-international-trade-law/intellectual-property-rights-trade-and-economic-development/A050958D6CF0A346342073A95CC8B1E0 (last visited Nov 7, 2024).

32. Bruce Alberts et al., *Isolating, Cloning, and Sequencing DNA*, *in* MOLECULAR BIOLOGY OF THE CELL. 4TH EDITION (2002), https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK26837/ (last visited Nov 8, 2024).

33. S.K. Verma, *Legal Protection of Trade Secrets and Confidential Information*, 44 JOURNAL OF THE INDIAN LAW INSTITUTE 336 (2002).

34. Merck & Co., Inc., Appellant, v. Olin Mathieson Chemical Corporation, Appellee, 253 F.2d 156 (4th Cir. 1958), JUSTIA LAW (2024),

https://law.justia.com/cases/federal/appellate-courts/F2/253/156/145548/ (last visited Nov 8, 2024).

35. Cecilia Limera et al., *New Biotechnological Tools for the Genetic Improvement of Major Woody Fruit Species*, 8 FRONT. PLANT SCI. (2017), https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/plant-science/articles/10.3389/fpls.2017.01418/full (last visited Nov 7, 2024).

36. North American Free Trade Agreement | U.S. Customs and Border Protection, https://www.cbp.gov/trade/north-american-free-trade-agreement (last visited Nov 7, 2024).

37. Giovanni Avola et al., *Precision Agriculture and Patented Innovation: State of the Art and Current Trends*, 76 WORLD PATENT INFORMATION 102262 (2024).

38. Ting Feng, Ruoyu Xiong & Peng Huan, *Productive Use of Natural Resources in Agriculture: The Main Policy Lessons*, 85 RESOURCES POLICY 103793 (2023).

39. Sidney B. Williams, *Protection of Plant Varieties and Parts as Intellectual Property*, 225 SCIENCE 18 (1984).

40. National Research Council (US) Steering Committee on the Role of Scientific and Technical Data and Information in the Public Domain, Julie M. Esanu & Paul F. Uhlir, *Scientific Knowledge as a Global Public Good: Contributions to Innovation and the Economy*, *in* THE ROLE OF SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL DATA AND INFORMATION IN THE PUBLIC DOMAIN: PROCEEDINGS OF A SYMPOSIUM (2003), https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK221876/ (last visited Nov 7, 2024).

41. David S. Almeling, *Seven Reasons Why Trade Secrets Are Increasingly Important*, 27 BERKELEY TECHNOLOGY LAW JOURNAL 1091 (2012).

42. Sustainable development: The path to economic growth in Cuba, https://www.brookings.edu/articles/sustainable-development-the-path-to-economic-growth-in-cuba/ (last visited Nov 8, 2024).

43. Catholic University, *The Bayh Dole Act*, THE CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY OF AMERICA, https://sponsored-research.catholic.edu/ott/resources/bayhdoleact/index.html (last visited Nov 7, 2024).

44. The competence and credibility of international organizations, , *in* INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS IN WTO DISPUTE SETTLEMENT: HOW MUCH

INSTITUTIONAL SENSITIVITY? 163 (Marina Foltea ed., 2012), https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/international-organizations-in-wto-dispute-settlement/competence-and-credibility-of-international-organizations/BB227DC191744CE6AD17405A5B99A7BF (last visited Nov 8, 2024).

45. National Research Council (US) Committee on Environmental Impacts Associated with Commercialization of Transgenic Plants, *The Future of Agricultural Biotechnology*, *in* ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS OF TRANSGENIC PLANTS: THE SCOPE AND ADEQUACY OF REGULATION (2002), https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK207491/ (last visited Nov 7, 2024).

46. Felicia Wu & William P.Butz, *The Gene Revolution: Genetically Modified Crops*, *in* THE FUTURE OF GENETICALLY MODIFIED CROPS 39 (1 ed. 2004), https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.7249/mg161rc.12 (last visited Nov 8, 2024).

47. Ruchir Raman, *The Impact of Genetically Modified (GM) Crops in Modern Agriculture: A Review*, 8 GM CROPS & FOOD 195 (2017).

48. The Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT) and Global Patent Strategy for Indian Inventors, (Oct. 4, 2024), https://depenning.com/blog/the-patent-cooperation-treaty-pct-and-global-patent-filing-strategy-for-indian-inventors/ (last visited Nov 8, 2024).

49. Cary Fowler, *The Plant Patent Act of 1930: A Sociological History of Its Creation*, 82 J. PAT. & TRADEMARK OFF. SOC'Y 621 (2000).

50. Glynn S. Lunney, *Trademark's Judicial De-Evolution: Why Courts Get Trademark Cases Wrong Repeatedly*, 106 CALIFORNIA LAW REVIEW 1195 (2018).

51. Julie Ingram et al., *What Are the Priority Research Questions for Digital Agriculture?*, 114 LAND USE POLICY 105962 (2022).

52. Kenneth W. Abbott & Duncan Snidal, *Why States Act through Formal International Organizations*, 42 THE JOURNAL OF CONFLICT RESOLUTION 3 (1998).

53. World Trade Organization - Global trade, (2024), https://www.wto.org/index.htm (last visited Nov 7, 2024).

54. World Trade Organization - Home page - Global trade, https://www.wto.org/ (last visited Nov 7, 2024).